

LDP Examination web site:

www.newport.gov.uk/ldp

NEWPORT LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN EXAMINATION

Hearing Session 15: Alternative Housing Sites 3 - Langstone, Parc Seymour, Penhow, Llanvaches, Bishton, Redwick

10.00am Friday 9 May 2014

Committee Room 1, Civic Centre, Newport, South Wales NP20 4UR

Main discussion matters

- 1. 10.00 Rep 207: Land north of A48, and west of Halse Garden Centre, Langstone (approx 11 ha/250 units).**
 - On what basis is the allocation contended to be needed in order to make the Plan sound? What evidence supports this argument?
 - Is the site free from constraints and deliverable?
 - Would allocation of the site fit with the plan strategy? What principal factors lead to this view?
- 2. 10.30 Rep 2049: Land south of Parc Seymour (approx 1ha/?? units).**
 - On what basis is the allocation contended to be needed in order to make the Plan sound? What evidence supports this argument?
 - Is the site free from constraints and deliverable?
 - Would allocation of the site fit with the plan strategy? What principal factors lead to this view?
- 3. 11.00 Rep 2074: Land at Rock Farm, Penhow (approx 8.5ha/?? units).**
 - On what basis is the allocation contended to be needed in order to make the Plan sound? What evidence supports this argument?
 - Is the site free from constraints and deliverable?
 - Would allocation of the site fit with the plan strategy? What principal factors lead to this view?
- 4. 11.40 Rep 2125: Land at The Cayo, Llanvaches (approx 1.75ha/?? units).**
 - On what basis is the allocation contended to be needed in order to make the Plan sound? What evidence supports this argument?
 - Is the site free from constraints and deliverable?
 - Would allocation of the site fit with the plan strategy? What principal factors lead to this view?

5. 12.10 Rep 39 Bishton village development boundary.

- On what basis are the changes sought contended to be needed in order to make the Plan sound? What evidence supports this argument?
- Would the changes sought be consistent with other elements of the Plan? What principal factors lead to this view?

6. 12.40 Rep 1425 Redwick village development boundary.

- On what basis are the changes sought contended to be needed in order to make the Plan sound? What evidence supports this argument?
- Would the changes sought be consistent with other elements of the Plan? What principal factors lead to this view?

Attendees

Newport City Council

Bishton Community Council (39)

Oak Court Estates Ltd (Mango Planning Ltd) (207)

Mr Paul Cromwell (1425)

Mr Robert Davies (RPS Planning & Development) (2049)

Mr Rowland Crellin (Burgess Salmon) (2074)

Mr Hugh Spence (C Architecture) (2125)